Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Laws of Minnesota 2013 Accomplishment Plan

Date: March 27, 2014

Program or Project Title: Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership

Funds Recommended: \$ 1,710,000

Manager's Name: Jim Nissen

Organization: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Address: 555 Lester Avenue City: Onalaska,, WI 54650 Office Number: 608-783-8401 Email: James_Nissen@fws.gov

Legislative Citation: ML 2013, Ch. 137, Art. 1, Sec. 2, Subd. 5(f)

Appropriation Language: \$1,710,000 in the first year is to the commissioner of natural resources to enhance aquatic habitat. Of this amount, \$450,000 is for an agreement with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service to enhance aquatic habitat in the lower Mississippi River watershed. A list of proposed land restorations and enhancements must be provided as part of the required accomplishment plan.

County Locations: Dakota, Goodhue, and Houston.

Regions in which work will take place:

Southeast Forest

Activity types:

- Enhance
- Restore

Priority resources addressed by activity:

- Habitat
- Prairie
- Wetlands

Abstract:

This proposal seeks to protect and enhance habitat along the Mississippi River Corridor through wetland restoration and enhancement; goat prairie restoration; and water level management, island construction, and backwater dredging.

Design and scope of work:

The Mississippi River, once one of our nation's most diverse ecosytems, has been degraded. Historically, the Mississippi River, from the Twin Cities to the lowa border was an important travel corridor that attracted many cultures with its abundance of timber, fish and game, fertile prairies, floodplain wetlands, adjacent bluffs, and clear and numerous spring-fed streams. For centuries, native cultures traveled, camped, and lived along this magnificent reach of river. In the mid-1800s; however, European settlers arrived and forever changed the landscape by logging forests, converting prairies to farmland, channelizing and constructing levees along tributaries, building cities and towns, and constructing wing dams and other structures for navigation.

Major tributaries, including the Root and Zumbro Rivers, were channelized and leveed in their lower reaches near the Mississippi River in the early 1900s, isolating them from their floodplains except during high water events. Forests, wetlands, and prairies behind the levees were converted to agriculture or urban uses. Over 15,000 acres of native habitats were lost, fragmenting the natural habitat corridors that connected the Mississippi River to its tributaries and their watersheds that were essential to the many species of fish and



wildlife that roamed this area. This was especially damaging to high quality wetlands that were found in these floodplains.

Construction of locks and dams in the 1930s changed the river into a series of navigation pools. Pools 1 (Minneapolis) through 9 (MN/IA border) are located in Minnesota. Initially, these pools increased marsh and wetland areas, creating numerous islands and deep backwaters. Fish and wildlife were abundant, with waterfowl hunting and fishing in the backwaters world-renowned. Over time, the pools began filling with sediment and wind and boat waves eroded away islands. Increased drainage and turbid water runoff from southern Minnesota tributaries, especially the Minnesota River, along with urban pollution from the Twin Cities, caused the reach from the mouth of the Minnesota River to Lake Pepin to become very turbid and nearly void of dissolved oxygen. By the 1960s, few fish were able to survive, aquatic vegetation nearly disappeared, and hunting, fishing, and other recreational opportunities in the river above Lake Pepin wee almost non-existent.

The Clean Water Act in the 1970s helped reduce point source pollution, resulting in improved water quality and subsequent improvements to some fish and wildlife species. While conditions have improved from their worst levels, there remain serious problems. Sediment from non-point sources continues to be a detriment throughout this reach, currently filling Lake Pepin at a rate nearly ten times greater than occurred historically. Lake Pepin is now the sink for nearly 90,000 metic tons of sediment per year, mostly from the Minnesota River. At the current rate of filling, which is equivalent to one city block covered with 100 feet of sediment each year, Lake Pepin will fill in just 300 years. The channels and backwaters along this reach (Twin Cities to Lake Pepin? remain one of the most degraded sections of the entire Upper Mississippi River System (Minneapolis to the mouth of the Ohio River).

Floodplain forests and oak savannas have also been impacted. Where the Vermillion and Cannon Rivers join the Mississippi, considerable state, federal, and private lands create one of the largest contiguous blocks of forest near a metropolitan area in the entire Upper Mississippi River Basin. These forests have been impacted by encroachment, invasive species, lack of floodwater scouring resulting in reduced tree regeneration, and artificially high water levels from the locks and dams. Forest stand diversity (age and species of trees), along with interior forest birds that need large blocks of intact forest, have declined.

Combined these changes have resulted in the loss or degradation of approximately 700,000 acres (60%) of native prairie, wetland, and forest in the blufflands region of southeastern Minnesota, which includes the 170-mile reach of the Mississippi River from the Twin Cities to the lowa border. Fish and wildlife populations have suffered, with 82 species now considered rare, threatened, or endangered. The Minnesota State Wildlife Action Plan lists more species in greatest conservation need for the blufflands subsection than for any other subsection in Minnesota.

The Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership includes over 20 agencies and organizations that have been working together to solve these problems. Each year a proposal is submitted to LSOHC that identifies specific projects that represent immediate opportunities for habitat protection, enhancement or restoration along the Mississippi River Corridor. For the FY14 proposal, we have developed a comprehensive project list involving numerous habitat protection and enhancement tools. Funding is requested to complete the top 3 priority projects, which include wetland enhancement, goat prairie restoration, and island building and backwater dredging.

Crops:

Will there be planting of corn or any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program - Not Listed

Which sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are applicable to this project:

- H1 Protect priority land habitats
- H2 Protect critical shoreland of streams and lakes
- H5 Restore land, wetlands and wetland-associated watersheds
- H6 Protect and restore critical in-water habitat of lakes and streams

Which other plans are addressed in this proposal:

- Long Range Duck Recovery Plan
- Minnesota DNR Scientific and Natural Area's Long Range Plan
- Minnesota DNR Strategic Conservation Agenda
- North American Waterbird Conservation Plan
- North American Waterfowl Management Plan
- Tomorrow's Habitat for the Wild and Rare
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Strategic Habitat Conservation Model
- Upper Mississippi River and Great Lakes Region Projects Joint Ventures Plan

Which LSOHC state-wide priorities are addressed in this proposal:

Not Listed

Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this proposal:

Southeast Forest:

- Protect, enhance, and restore habitat for fish, game, and nongame wildlife in rivers, cold-water streams, and associated upland habitat
- Protect, enhance, and restore remnant goat prairies

Relationship to other funds:

· Clean Water Fund

This partnership will primarily benefit habitat. However, there will be significant secondary benefits for clean water. Any related efforts will be coordinated with other funding sources, such as Clean Water Council and LCCMR.

How does this proposal accelerate or supplement your current efforts in this area:

Funding is needed to begin implementing projects. On the Upper Mississippi River National Wildlife and Fish Refuge's Root River Tract, about 700 acres of land have recently been acquired. An "Evaluation of Ecosystem Restoration and Management Options" for the Root River Tract was completed in November 2010. Preliminary engineering work has also been completed. Funding would permit habitat enhancement work to proceed. Funding now would also allow habitat restoration efforts to begin on about 70 acres of goat prairie benefiting many rare species of native plants and animals. Water level management, island construction, and backwater dredging would benefit North and Sturgeon Lakes in Navigation Pool 3. Funds from LSOHC would be leveraged with funds from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to benefit nearly 1,500 acres of habitat.

How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended:

Maintenance would be completed by partner agencies as part of their normal management schedule. For example, on the Upper Mississippi River National Wildlife and Fish Refuge, maintenance will be the responsibility of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. On state-owned lands, it will be primarily the responsibility of the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources.

Activity Details:

Is the activity on permanently protected land per 97A.056, subd 13(f) and/or public waters per MS 103G.005, Subd. 15 - Yes (SNA, Refuge Lands, Public Waters, State Forests, no)

Accomplishment Timeline:

Activity	Approximate Date Completed
Goat prairie enhancement	6/30/2016
Wetland and forest enhancement	6/30/2016
Island construction and backwater dredging	6/30/2017

Federal Funding:

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program - Not Listed

Outcomes:

Programs in southeast forest region:

- · Healthier populations of endangered, threatened, and special concern species as well as more common species
- Improved aquatic habitat indicators
- Remnant goat prairies are perpetually protected
- Rivers, streams, and surrounding vegetation provide corridors of habitat
- Outdoor recreationists will benefit from these projects

Budget Spreadsheet

Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan

How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recoomendation from the original proposed requested amount

Not Listed

Total Amount of Request: \$ 1710000

Budget and Cash Leverage

Budget Name	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$30,000	\$30,000		\$60,000
Contracts	\$1,648,000	\$2,061,400	USACE	\$3,709,400
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	\$0	\$0		\$0
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	\$0	\$0		\$0
Easement Acquisition	\$0	\$0		\$0
Easement Stewardship	\$0	\$0		\$0
Travel	\$0	\$0		\$0
Pro fessio nal Services	\$0	\$0		\$0
Direct Support Services	\$12,000	\$0	MDNR	\$12,000
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	\$0	\$0		\$0
Capital Equipment	\$0	\$0		\$0
Other Equipment/Tools	\$0	\$0		\$0
Supplies/Materials	\$20,000	\$20,000		\$40,000
DNR IDP	\$0	\$0		\$0
Total	\$1,710,000	\$2,111,400		\$3,821,400

Personnel

Position	FTE	Over#ofyears	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Bio Tech	1.00	2.00	\$30,000	\$30,000		\$60,000
Total	1.00	2.00	\$30,000	\$30,000		\$60,000

Budget and Cash Leverage by Partnership

Budget Name	Partnership	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	USFWS	\$30,000	\$30,000		\$60,000
Contracts	USFWS	\$400,000	\$O		\$400,000
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	USFWS	\$0	\$0		\$0
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	USFWS	\$0	\$0		\$0
Easement Acquisition	USFWS	\$0	\$O		\$0
Easement Stewardship	USFWS	\$0	\$0		\$0
Travel	USFWS	\$0	\$0		\$0
Pro fessio nal Services	USFWS	\$0	\$0		\$0
Direct Support Services	USFWS	\$0	\$O		\$0
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	USFWS	\$0	\$0		\$0
Capital Equipment	USFWS	\$0	\$0		\$0
Other Equipment/Tools	USFWS	\$0	\$O		\$0
Supplies/Materials	USFWS	\$20,000	\$20,000		\$40,000
DNR IDP	USFWS	\$0	\$0		\$0
	Total	\$450,000	\$50,000		\$500,000

Personnel - USFWS

Position	FTE	Over#ofyears	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Bio Tech	1.00	2.00	\$30,000	\$30,000		\$60,000
Total	1.00	2.00	\$30,000	\$30,000		\$60,000

Budget Name	Partnersh	ip LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	DNR	\$0	\$0		\$0
Contracts	DNR	\$1,248,000	\$2,061,400	USACE	\$3,309,400
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	DNR	\$0	\$0		\$0
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	DNR	\$0	\$0		\$0
Easement Acquisition	DNR	\$0	\$0		\$0
Easement Stewardship	DNR	\$0	\$0		\$0
Travel	DNR	\$0	\$0		\$0
Pro fessional Services	DNR	\$0	\$0		\$0
Direct Support Services	DNR	\$12,000	\$0	MDNR	\$12,000
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	DNR	\$0	\$0		\$0
Capital Equipment	DNR	\$0	\$0		\$0
Other Equipment/Tools	DNR	\$0	\$0		\$0
Supplies/Materials	DNR	\$0	\$0		\$0
DNR IDP	DNR	\$0	\$0		\$0
	Total	\$1,260,000	\$2,061,400		\$3,321,400

Output Tables

Table 1a. Acres by Resource Type

Туре	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore	0	70	0	0	70
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	700	0	0	1,500	2,200
Total	700	70	0	1,500	2,270

Table 1b. How many of these Prairie acres are Native Prairie?

Туре	Native Prairie
Restore	0
Pro tect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0
Pro tect in Easement	0
Enhance	0
Total	0

Table 2. Total Requested Funding by Resource Type

Туре	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore	\$0	\$150,000	\$0	\$0	\$150,000
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Pro tect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Enhance	\$450,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,110,000	\$1,560,000
Total	\$450,000	\$150,000	\$0	\$1,110,000	\$1,710,000

Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section

Туре	Metro Urban	Fo rest Prairie	SEForest	Prairie	N Forest	Total
Restore	0	0	70	0	0	70
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	0	2,200	0	0	2,200
Total	0	0	2,270	0	0	2,270

Table 4. Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section

T ype	Metro Urban	ForestPrairie	SEForest	Prairie	N Forest	Total
Restore	\$0	\$0	\$150,000	\$0	\$0	\$150,000
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$1,560,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,560,000
Total	\$0	\$0	\$1,710,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,710,000

Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles

Parcel List

For restoration and enhancement programs ONLY: Managers may add, delete, and substitute projects on this parcel list based upon need, readiness, cost, opportunity, and/or urgency so long as the substitute parcel/project forwards the constitutional objectives of this program in the Project Scope table of this accomplishment plan. The final accomplishment plan report will include the final parcel list.

Section 1 - Restore / Enhance Parcel List

Goodhue

Name	TRDS	Acres	EstCost	Existing Protection?
Pool 3 islands and drawdown	11416225	0	\$2,800,000	Yes
Houston			<u> </u>	
Name	TRDS	Acres	EstCost	Existing Protection?
Name Goat prairie enhancement	T RDS 10405225	Acres 70	Est Cost \$150,000	

Section 2 - Protect Parcel List

No parcels with an activity type protect.

Section 2a - Protect Parcel with Bldgs

No parcels with an activity type protect and has buildings.

Section 3 - Other Parcel Activity

No parcels with an other activity type.

Parcel Map

